

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

IB2004/000769

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 H04M7/00 H04L12/58

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04M H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|------------|---|--------------------------|
| X | US 2003/012348 A1 (NG ALEXANDER SIU-KAY ET AL) 16 January 2003 (2003-01-16) | 1-10, 14-28, 32-35 |
| Y | abstract paragraphs '0023!, '0024!, '0031!, '0040!, '0044!, '0045!, '0053!, '0061!, '0062!; figures 3a,3b,4 ----- -/-- | 11-13, 29-31 |

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 September 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Ceccarini, G

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

IB2004/000769

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|------------|--|--------------------------|
| X | YEO C K ET AL: "A unified messaging system on the Internet" MICROPROCESSORS AND MICROSYSTEMS, IPC BUSINESS PRESS LTD. LONDON, GB, vol. 24, no. 10, 1 March 2001 (2001-03-01), pages 523-530, XP004317575 ISSN: 0141-9331 | 1-10, 14-28, 32-35 |
| Y | the whole document | 11-13, 29-31 |
| Y | ----- US 2003/123436 A1 (JOSEPH AJAY ET AL) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03) abstract paragraphs '0077! - '0079! | 11-13, 29-31 |
| P,X | ----- US 6 661 877 B1 (CHRISTOFFERSON KURT LEE ET AL) 9 December 2003 (2003-12-09) the whole document ----- | 1,2,17 |

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 36

Reference to the description and drawings in the claim determines unclarity as to the subject-matter to be searched, Art. 6, Rule 6.2(a) PCT.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.


